Thyroid function within the reference range and risk of fracture among prospective cohorts

Carole E Aubert,[1] Carmen Floriani, MD,[1] Douglas C Bauer,[2,*],Bruno R da Costa,[3,4] Daniel Segna,[1] Manuel R Blum, MD,[1]Tinh-Hai Collet,[5,6] Howard A Fink,[7,8] Anne R Cappola,[9,] Lamprini Syrogiannouli,[3] Robin P Peeters,[10] Bjørn O Åsvold,[11,12] Wendy PJ den Elzen,[13,14] Robert N Luben,[15] Alexandra P Bremner,[16] Apostolos Gogakos,[17] Richard Eastell,[18] Patricia M Kearney,[19] Mari Hoff,[11,20] Erin Le Blanc,[21] Graziano Ceresini,[23,24] Fernando Rivadeneira,[10] André G Uitterlinden,[10] Kay-Tee Khaw,[15] Arnulf Langhammer,[11] David J Stott,[25] Rudi GJ Westendorp,[26] Luigi Ferrucci,[27] Graham R Williams,[17] Jacobijn Gussekloo,[13] John P Walsh,[28,29] Drahomir Aujesky,[1] Nicolas Rodondi[1,3] for the Thyroid Studies Collaboration. * for the Health ABC Study.

Authors affiliations:
[1] Department of General Internal Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland; [2] Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, United States; [3] Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Switzerland; [4] Department of Cardiology, Swiss Cardiovascular Center Bern, Bern University Hospital, Bern, Switzerland; [5] Service of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, University Hospital of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland; [6] University of Cambridge Metabolic Research Laboratories, Wellcome Trust-MRC Institute of Metabolic Science, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK; [7] Geriatric Research Education & Clinical Center, Veterans Affairs Health Care System, Minneapolis, United States; [8] Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota School of Medicine, Minneapolis; [9] University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, United States; [10] Department of Internal Medicine & Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands; [11] Department of Public Health and General Practice, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; [12] Department of Endocrinology, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway; [13] Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; [14] Leiden University Medical Center, department of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Leiden, the Netherlands; [15] Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; [16] School of Population Health, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia; [17] Molecular Endocrinology Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; [18] Department of Human Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom; [19] Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland; [20] Levanger Hospital, Nord-Trøndelag Hospital Trust, Levanger, Norway; [21] Department of Public Health and General Practice, Norwegian University of Science and Technology; [22] Center for Health Research NW, Kaiser Permanente, Portland, OR, USA; [23] Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Geriatric Endocrine Unit, University Hospital of Parma, Parma, Italy; [24] Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Geriatric Endocrine Unit, University Hospital of Parma, Parma, Italy; [25] Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom; [26] Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; [27] National Institute of Health, Bethesda, United States; [28] Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, WA, United States; [29] School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia.

Corresponding author: Nicolas Rodondi, Department of General Internal Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, 3010 Bern, Switzerland; e-mail: nicolas.rodondi@insel.ch; Phone +41 31 632 41 63; Fax +41 31 632 88 85

Text word count: 2907
Abstract word count: 323
Tables count: 3
Figures count: 2
Supplemental tables: 4

Supplemental figures: 2
References count: 50

Authors contributions:
Dr Aubert had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.
Study concept and design: Åsvold, Aubert, Bauer, Ferrucci, Gussekloo, Rodondi, Westendorp
Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Åsvold, Aubert, Blum, Bremner, Cappola, Ceresini, den Elzen, Gussekloo, Kearney, Khaw, Peeters, Stott, Walsh, Westendorp, Rodondi.
Drafting of the manuscript: Aubert.
Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Åsvold, Aujesky Bauer, Blum, Bremner, Cappola, Ceresini, Collet, da Costa, den Elzen, Ferrucci , Fink, Floriani, Gussekloo, Hoff, Kearney, Khaw, Langhammer, Le Blanc Luben, Peeters, Rivadeneira, Rodondi, Segna, Stott, Syrogiannouli, Uitterlinden, Walsh , Westendorp, Williams.
Statistical analysis: Aubert, Blum, da Costa, Rodondi, Syrogiannouli.
Obtained funding: Åsvold, Ferrucci, Khaw, Maciel, Newman, Sgarbi, Völzke, Walsh, Westendorp, Rodondi.
Administrative, technical, or material support: Bauer, Ceresini, den Elzen, Ferrucci, Gussekloo, Khaw, Peeters.
Study supervision: Rodondi.

Authors email addresses:
Carmen.floriani@insel.ch ; Daniel.segna@insel.ch ; ManuelRaphael.Blum@insel.ch; Drahomir.Aujesky@insel.ch; Tinh-Hai.Collet@chuv.ch; bruno.dacosta@biham.unibe.ch; DBauer@psg.ucsf.edu; W.P.J.den_Elzen@lumc.nl; robert.luben@phpc.cam.ac.uk; westendorp@sund.ku.dk; David.J.Stott@glasgow.ac.uk; acappola@mail.med.upenn.edu; patricia.kearney@ucc.ie; graham.williams@imperial.ac.uk; j.gussekloo@lumc.nl; john.walsh@health.wa.gov.au; ferruccilu@mail.nih.gov; mari.hoff@ntnu.no; graziano.ceresini@unipr.it; a.gogakos@imperial.ac.uk; r.eastell@sheffield.ac.uk; alexandra.bremner@uwa.edu.au; howard.fink@va.gov; arnulf.langhammer@ntnu.no; kk101@medschl.cam.ac.uk; bjorn.o.asvold@ntnu.no; r.peeters@erasmusmc.nl; f.rivadeneira@erasmusmc.nl; a.g.uitterlinden@erasmusmc.nl; lsirogiannouli@gmail.com; Erin.S.LeBlanc@kpchr.org; Nicolas.rodondi@insel.ch.

ABSTRACT

Context

Hyperthyroidism is associated with increased fracture risk, but it is not clear if lower TSH and higher free thyroxine (FT4) in euthyroid individuals are associated with fracture risk.

Objective

To evaluate the association of TSH and FT4 with incident fractures in euthyroid individuals.

Design

Individual participant data analysis.

Setting

Thirteen prospective cohort studies with baseline examinations between 1981 and 2002.

Participants

Adults with baseline TSH 0.45-4.49 mIU/L.

Main Outcomes and Measures

Primary outcome was incident hip fracture. Secondary outcomes were any, non-vertebral, and vertebral fractures. Results were presented as hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) adjusted for age and sex. For clinical relevance, we studied TSH according to five categories: 0.45-0.99mIU/L; 1.00-1.49mIU/L; 1.50-2.49mIU/L; 2.50-3.49mIU/L; 3.50-4.49mIU/L (reference). FT4 was assessed as study-specific standard deviation increase, because assays varied between cohorts.

Results

During 659,059 person-years, 2,565/56,835 participants had hip fracture (4.5%; 12 studies with data on hip fracture). The pooled adjusted HR (95% CI) for hip fracture was 1.25 (1.05-1.49) for TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L, 1.19 (1.01-1.41) for TSH 1.00-1.49mIU/L, 1.09 (0.93-1.28) for TSH 1.50-2.49mIU/L, and 1.12 (0.94-1.33) for TSH 2.50-3.49mIU/L (P for trend = 0.004). Hip fracture was also associated with FT4 (HR [95%CI] 1.22 [1.11-1.35] per one standard deviation increase in FT4). FT4 only was associated with any and non-vertebral fracture. Results remained similar in sensitivity analyses.

Conclusions

Among euthyroid adults, lower TSH and higher FT4 are associated with an increased risk of hip fracture. These findings may help refine the definition of optimal ranges of thyroid function tests.

Registration: The protocol was published on PROSPERO (registration number CRD42016039125)

Primary funding source: Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF 320030-150025).

INTRODUCTION

Overt hyperthyroidism is a well-known risk factor for fractures and osteoporosis.[1] We recently showed that subclinical hyperthyroidism was also associated with increased fractures incidence.[2] Thyroid hormones stimulate bone turnover acting directly and indirectly on osteoclasts and osteoblasts.[3] Anabolic action is net during growth, but in adults, catabolic action leads to greater bone loss and higher fractures risk.[3] Thyroid hormones might also decrease muscular strength and coordination, and increase the risk of falls.[4,5] Administering TSH reduces bone resorption and increases bone formation.[6] Conversely, high TSH levels can degrade bone quality by increasing cortical, rather than trabecular bone.

The reference range for thyroid function – "euthyroidism" – is defined by the 95% confidence interval (CI) of a non-Gaussian distribution in an apparently healthy population. However, the studies from which this reference range was derived did not exclude participants with occult or underlying disease, e.g. those with positive anti-thyroid antibodies, which might bias the reference range towards higher TSH values.[7,8] In medicine, reference ranges can be derived from normative data, as for thyroid function, or preferably determining levels associated with important risks or outcomes, as for lipids, or blood pressure.

TSH within the lower reference range has been associated with osteoporosis and fractures mostly in cross-sectional studies of healthy post-menopausal women, but prospective data are limited and conflicting.[5,9-13] If we can better understand the association between TSH and health outcomes, we could make more accurate estimates of fracture risk, which would help refine thyroxine treatment targets. We therefore aimed to assess the association between TSH within the reference range, FT4, and fractures risk by analyzing individual participant data (IPD) of population-based prospective cohort studies participating to the international Thyroid Studies Collaboration.[2,14]

METHODS

Data source, searches and study selection

The study protocol was registered on PROSPERO prior to study conduct (available on http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO; registration number: CRD42016039125).

We updated our previous systematic literature search[2] and searched for studies that may have included participants with only euthyroidism, which may have been omitted in our initial search. Our Ovid (MEDLINE) and EMBASE search (until 05/19/2016) used following medical search terms: *euthyroid, euthyroidism* or *normal TSH* and *fractures* or *osteoporosis*. After retrieving studies according to titles and abstracts, two authors (C.E.A. and D.S.) independently reviewed full-texts to confirm study eligibility. Disagreements were resolved by consensus with a third author (N.R.). We also requested unpublished fracture data from all cohorts of the Thyroid Studies Collaboration.[2,14-17] Exclusion criteria were: 1) cohorts using first-generation TSH assays because these assays were not sensitive enough;[18] 2) studies with only participants aged <18 years; 3) studies with only participants with thyroid medication (thyroxine or anti-thyroid drugs); 4) studies with only participants with TSH outside the reference range (<0.45mIU/L or >4.49mIU/L); and, 5) studies exclusively on participants after thyroid surgery. Agreement between reviewers was 100% (K =1.00).

Data extraction and quality assessment

If the cohorts identified met our eligibility criteria, they were invited to provide IPD. Each study was approved by its local ethics committee. All participants gave informed consent for the original studies. We collected information on demographics, anthropometrics, medication, other risk factors for fractures, history of thyroid disorders, bone mineral density (BMD) and incident fractures.

Risk of bias and study quality were independently assessed by C.E.A and D.S., using the following Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale items:[19] 1) cohorts selection; 2) cohorts representativeness; 3) ascertainment of exposure; 4) availability of relevant confounding factors for adjustment; 5) outcome assessment based on

objective fractures assessment, with adjudication procedure for fractures other than hip; 6) length of follow-up; 7) adequacy of follow-up; 8) researchers/participants/physicians blinding to thyroid values; and, 9) publication status. In sensitivity analyses, we excluded cohorts that did not meet one or more item(s).

Data synthesis and analysis

Definition of thyroid function

To maximize comparability, we used uniform TSH thresholds based on previously established thresholds.[2,14] We defined euthyroidism as TSH 0.45-4.49mIU/L. For clinical relevance, we separated TSH values into five categories: 0.45-0.99mIU/L; 1.00-1.49mIU/L; 1.50-2.49mIU/L; 2.50-3.49mIU/L; 3.50-4.49mIU/L. The later was used as reference category because we hypothesized, based on our previous publication,[2] that lower TSH might be associated with higher fractures risk. Because of different FT4 reference ranges across studies, we used standard deviation (SD) rather than specific cut-offs. FT4 was available for all but two studies in the euthyroid range.[20,21]

Definition of outcomes

Our primary outcome was incident hip fracture, including femoral neck, pertrochanteric and subtrochanteric fractures, as previously defined.[2] Briefly, we excluded pathologic (i.e. associated with metastasis or rare bone disease) and periprosthetic fractures. Any, non-vertebral and clinical vertebral incident fractures were secondary outcomes. We excluded 1) vertebral fractures diagnosed with only radiologic imaging to keep focus on clinical relevance; 2) cervical and sacral vertebral fractures because fractures at these locations are usually associated with trauma rather than osteoporosis. “Any fractures” included fractures at any location, except for skull, face, ankle, finger or toe, since these are not related to osteoporosis. “Non-vertebral fractures” was the same as “any fractures” except it excluded vertebral fractures. For any and non-vertebral fractures, we excluded cohorts that collected fracture data on only part of the skeleton. **Appendix table 1** describes fractures definitions by study.

Statistical analyses

We used a shared frailty Cox regression model with random-effects at study level to conduct an IPD meta-analysis, which used data from all included cohorts to assess the relationship of incident fractures with TSH categories and FT4, respectively.[22,23] We used Schoenfeld residuals to test the proportional-hazards assumption.[24] Results were presented as hazard ratios (HR) compared to the reference category . Time-to-event was defined for each outcome from baseline TSH measurement to first fracture event. We adjusted primary analyses for age and sex, and then for other risk factors for fractures (body mass index [BMI], smoking, and history of diabetes), because they might mediate the association between thyroid function and fractures. We conducted following predefined sensitivity analyses: 1) excluding participants with thyroid medication (thyroxine or anti-thyroid medication) at baseline; 2) excluding participants with thyroid-altering medication at baseline (thyroid medication, oral corticosteroids, amiodarone, iodine); 3) excluding participants with anti-fracture medication at baseline (bisphosphonate, calcitonin, selective estrogen receptor modulator, parathyroid hormone); 4) including only studies with formal fractures adjudication; 5) including only studies that uniformly defined fractures (except for hip fracture, since it has a common definition and is rarely reported in error); 6) excluding cohorts with loss to follow-up rates >5%; 7) excluding participants who developed overt or subclinical thyroid dysfunction over time; and 8) further adjusting for BMD as a potential mediator between thyroid function and incident fractures. In this last analysis, we included only studies that used dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) devices with femoral neck BMD (available for six studies)[5,10,14,25-27] for hip fractures, lumbar spine BMD (available for one study)[10] for vertebral fractures, and whole body BMD (available for one study)[10] for any fractures. We conducted predefined stratified analyses by sex, age (<75 versus ≥75 years) and duration of follow-up (<5 versus ≥5 years).

For the FT4 analysis, we used the whole range of FT4 values including only participants with TSH within the reference range. FT4 values were converted to ng/mL (12.87pmol/L = 1ng/mL). We used study-specific SD to

assess fractures risk per one SD increase in FT4 because FT4 assays varied between cohorts.[14] We performed the same sensitivity and stratified analyses as for TSH.

We used STATA release 13.1 for all analyses (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas). All tests were two-sided, at a 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS

Our updated literature search identified nine additional reports (**Appendix figure 1**).[2] Eight of them concerned studies already identified in our previous search.[2] The newly identified study (Study of Osteoporotic Fractures)[20] agreed to participate. We excluded the Nagasaki Adult Health Study,[28] because it used first-generation TSH assays, which have a low functional sensitivity (1mIU/L).[18] For the same reason, this study had been included in our previous work[2] in the analysis on subclinical hypothyroidism only, but not on subclinical hyperthyroidism.[16] We included thirteen studies from the USA, Europe and Australia with 61,959 participants, and a median duration of follow-up of 12.1 years (interquartile range [IQR] 8.5-12.9), totaling 659,059 person-years. Median age was 64 (range 18-102) with 60.5% women (**Table 1**). Median (IQR) TSH was 1.60mIU/L (1.10-2.30); 3.1% of participants used thyroid medication at baseline and 5.5% during follow-up; 17.7% had a TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L, 24.8% 1.00-1.49mIU/L, 37.4% 1.50-2.49mIU/L, 14.2% 2.50-3.49mIU/L and 5.9% 3.50-4.49mIU/L. Hip fracture occurred in 2,565 participants (4.6%; 12 studies), any fracture in 2,333 (8.9%; 9 studies), non-vertebral fracture in 1,874 (8.5%; 9 studies), and vertebral fracture in 263 (1.3%; 7 studies). Overall quality was good (**Appendix table 2**): one study reported loss to follow-up >5%,[5] four did not perform formal fractures adjudication,[26,29-31] and three had not published fractures data in a separate manuscript.[17,29,30] Tests of the proportional-hazards assumption on the basis of Schoenfeld residuals indicated that assumptions were met for all analyses ($P > 0.11$ for all).

Thyroid function and hip fractures

Compared with the reference group (TSH 3.50-4.49mIU/L), pooled age- and sex-adjusted HR (95% CI) for hip fractures was 1.25 (1.05-1.49) for TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L, 1.19 (1.01-1.41) for TSH 1.00-1.49mIU/L, 1.09 (0.93-1.28) for TSH 1.50-2.49mIU/L, and 1.12 (0.94-1.33) for TSH 2.50-3.49mIU/L (*P* for trend 0.004, **Figure 1**). After adjusting for smoking status, BMI, and history of diabetes, HR (95% CI) was 1.24 (1.03-1.49) for TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L compared with the reference group, while HR (95%CI) for TSH 1.00-1.49mIU/L was somewhat

attenuated and no longer statistically (1.15 [0.97-1.38]). The risk of hip fractures in participants with TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L remained significantly higher in all sensitivity analyses, and was even higher after adjusting for femoral neck BMD (**Table 2**). For TSH 1.00-1.49mIU/L, the risk of hip fractures remained significantly in all sensitivity analyses, except after adjusting for femoral neck BMD or after excluding participants with thyroid-alterating medication at baseline. This association remained not significant for TSH 1.50-2.49mIU/L or TSH 2.50-3.49mIU/L. We found no significant interaction for sex, age or duration of follow-up (**Appendix figure 2**), although confidence intervals were larger and point estimates smaller for age <75 years and follow-up <5 years. Conversely, there was significant interaction for publication status with a HR (95% CI) of 1.35 (1.13-1.61) for the ten studies that published risk of hip fracture associated with thyroid function in a separate manuscript, and 0.44 (0.21-0.90) for the two studies[17,29] that did not previously publish hip fracture data associated with thyroid function in a separate article (*P* for interaction 0.0001, **Appendix table3**).

The HR (95% CI) for hip fractures was 1.24 (1.12-1.37) per one SD increase in FT4 (**Figure 2**).We found no significant interaction with sex, age, duration of follow-up, or publication status of hip fractures data (**Figure 2, Appendix table 3**), although point estimate was smaller when follow-up was <5 years. All sensitivity analyses yielded similar results (**Table 2**). In the 25,760 participants of the five cohorts with available data on thyroid function during follow-up,[26,29-32] 146 (0.6%) participants developed subclinical hyperthyroidism and 46 (0.2%) overt hyperthyroidism. When we included only endogenous forms of thyroid dysfunction (i.e., participants without thyroxine use at baseline, N=25,049), 102 (0.4%) and 25 (0.1%) participants developed subclinical and overt hyperthyroidism, respectively. The HR (95% CI) for hip fractures for TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L compared with the reference group was 1.70 (1.13-2.57) in the sensitivity analysis including only participants with TSH remaining within the reference range (four cohorts with data on hip fractures and thyroid function during follow-up).[26,29,31,32]

Thyroid function and any, non-vertebral, and vertebral fractures

For all TSH categories when compared with the reference group, we found no significant association for any, non-vertebral, or vertebral fractures (**Appendix Table 4**). The HR (95% CI) per one SD increase in FT4 was 1.08 (1.02-1.15) for any fractures, and 1.10 (1.03-1.18) for non-vertebral fractures. These associations remained significant in most sensitivity analyses (**Table 3**), except when adjusting for BMD. Association between FT4 and vertebral fractures was not statistically significant, possibly because of the lower number of data (**Table 3**). We found no significant interaction in the analyses stratified by sex, age, duration of follow-up, or publication status for any of these fractures outcomes (**Table 3**, **Appendix table 3**).

DISCUSSION

In this analysis of 61,959 euthyroid participants of thirteen prospective cohorts, lower TSH within the reference range, particularly TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L, was associated with increased risk of hip fractures, and higher FT4 with increased risk of hip, any, and non-vertebral fractures.

While overt and subclinical hyperthyroidism have been associated with increased fractures risk,[1,2] previous studies on the relationship between TSH within the reference range and fractures risk had conflicting results. The Clalit Health Services, a large historical cohort study, found a borderline increased incidence of hip fracture with TSH 0.35-1.6mIU/L when compared with TSH 1.7-2.9mIU/L, but in women only (odds ratio [95%CI] 1.28 [1.03-1.59]), while the association with other osteoporotic fractures was not statistically significant.[11] A small cross-sectional study (N=129) found an association between low TSH and vertebral fractures.[12] The Cardiovascular Health Study found no significant association between TSH within the reference range or FT4 assessed as continuous variables and hip fractures,[13] but, consistent with our findings, curves bent with an increased fracture risk for TSH <1.5mIU/L and for FT4 >1.4ng/mL. Our thorough IPD analysis across multiple prospective cohorts confirms the association between low TSH and hip fractures, and an association between high FT4 and all but vertebral fractures in participants with TSH within the reference range, suggesting that even a modest increase in thyroid hormones among euthyroid adults is associated with higher fractures risk.

Our study was strengthened, first, by an IPD analysis that allowed us to standardize the definitions of predictors and outcomes, adjust for similar potential confounders, and avoid aggregation bias for subgroup analyses. This was the best way to perform time-to-event analysis.[33] Second, our study is the largest to assess fractures risk in prospective cohorts with TSH within the reference range. Third, we included all international prospective cohorts available on this topic, since all the studies we identified agreed to participate.

Our study had several limitations. First, our population consisted mostly of Caucasians and included few young adults. Second, thyroid function was assessed only at baseline in most cohorts, so that we may have included adults who developed subclinical hyperthyroidism or overt thyroid dysfunction. However, our sensitivity

analysis including only participants with persistent TSH within the reference range yielded an even stronger association between low TSH and hip fractures. Third, fractures were adjudicated in 9/13 cohorts, and we could not uniformly define each fracture type across all cohorts. Nevertheless, sensitivity analyses limited to cohorts with the most uniform factures definitions or adjudicated fractures yielded similar results. Fourth, we did not know fractures mechanism, but we excluded pathological fractures and fractures locations typically not associated with osteoporosis to reduce bias related to traumatic fractures. Fifth, data on fractures other than hip were available in a more limited number of studies, reducing the number of outcomes and the related power to identify associations.

Our findings have three important clinical implications. First, the TSH reference range is still a matter of debate; [34] some authors advocate narrowing of reference range,[7,35] and others recommend against any change.[8,36,37] TSH reference range was indeed defined in a population that included persons with occult or underlying thyroid disease.[7,8] TSH between 0.4 and 2.5mIU/L is associated with a lower incidence of thyroid dysfunction,[38] but previous studies and this present analysis showed various adverse outcomes associated with subclinical thyroid dysfunction,[14-16,39-41] and with TSH at both extremities of the reference range (e.g. higher risk of cardiovascular diseases with high TSH/low FT4, higher risk of fractures, osteoporosis and dementia with low TSH/high FT4).[9,13,42] There may be optimal values of thyroid function within the reference range.

Second, similar to previous studies showing stronger association of adverse outcomes with FT4 than TSH,[43,44] FT4 was associated with hip, any and non-vertebral fractures, while TSH was associated only with hip fractures. TSH and thyroid hormones may act differently on peripheral organs, including bones: TSH may act on osteoblasts and osteoclasts via specific receptors,[3] while thyroid hormones may act on target tissues via nuclear receptors controlled locally by deiodinases.[3,45,46] This may explain why TSH and FT4 are associated with different fractures types. FT4 may therefore help evaluate osteoporosis and fractures risk, which is now usually done with the World Health Organization FRAX score,[47] but future studies should determine if adding FT4 improves clinical accuracy of this score. To note, FT4 was not significantly associated with vertebral

fractures. One explanation may be that FT4 acts differently on vertebral bones. It may however also be due to lack of power, as we could include about 10 times less vertebral than other fractures (**Table 3**).

Third, in patients with thyroid cancer, TSH should be maintained between 0.3-2.0mIU/L, 0.1-0.5mIU/L or even <0.1mIU/L for 5-10 years, depending on a risk/benefit assessment, based on patient's response to treatment, consecutive risk of recurrence, and potential adverse effects of TSH suppression.[48,49] Our findings suggest that fracture risk should be considered in this evaluation, particularly in low-risk patients, since they may be particularly exposed to long-term TSH suppression.

We may have expected a stronger association of fractures with TSH and FT4, respectively, after excluding participants with thyroid medication at baseline, but the risk was only slightly increased, probably because of the low number of participants with thyroid medication at baseline (N=1897, 3%).

In conclusion, analyzing individual data of 61,959 adults from thirteen large prospective cohorts, we found that low TSH within the reference range was associated with higher risk of hip fractures, and high FT4 with higher risk of hip, non-vertebral, and any fractures. Our findings may help refine the current definition of optimal thyroid function. Meanwhile, clinicians should be aware that lower TSH and higher FT4, even within the normal range, are associated with an increased risk of hip fracture.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

Primary funding source: Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF 320030-150025 to Prof. Rodondi).

The **Busselton Health Study** had no financial support to disclose.

The **Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS)** was supported by contracts HHSN268201200036C, HHSN268200800007C, N01HC55222, N01HC85079, N01HC85080, N01HC85081, N01HC85082, N01HC85083, N01HC85086, and grants U01HL080295 and U01HL130114 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), with additional contribution from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS). Additional support was provided by R01AG023629 from the National Institute on Aging (NIA). A full list of principal CHS investigators and institutions can be found at CHS-NHLBI.org. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

The **European Prospective Investigation of Cancer (EPIC)-Norfolk Study** was supported by research grants from the Medical Research Council UK and Cancer Research UK.

The **Health, Aging and Body Composition (Health ABC) Study** was supported by National Institute on Aging (NIA) Contracts N01-AG-6-2101; N01-AG-6-2103; N01-AG-6-2106; NIA grant R01-AG028050, and NINR grant R01-NR012459. This research was funded in part by the Intramural Research Program at the National Institute on Aging.

The **InCHIANTI Study** was supported as a target project ICS 110.1|RS97.71 by the Italian Ministry of Health, and in part by the U.S. National Institute on Aging, contracts 263-MD-9164-13 and 263-MD-821336.

The **Nord-Trøndelag Health (HUNT) Study** is a collaborative effort of HUNT Research Center (Faculty of Medicine, NTNU, Norwegian University of Science and Technology), the Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Central Norway Health Authority, and the Nord-Trøndelag County Council. Thyroid function testing in the HUNT Study was financially supported by WallacOy (Turku, Finland). Data were provided by HUNT Research Centre and by Nord-Trøndelag Hospital Trust.

The **Leiden 85-plus Study** was partly funded by the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports.

The **Osteoporotic Fractures in Men (MrOS) Study** was supported by U.S. National Institutes of Health funding. The following institutes provide support: the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS), the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS), and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research, under the following grant numbers: U01 AG027810; U01 AG042124; U01 AG042139; U01 AG042140; U01 AG042143; U01 AG042145; U01 AG042168; U01 AR066160; and, UL1 TR000128.

The **Osteoporosis and Ultrasound Study (OPUS)** was supported by Sanofi-Aventis, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Proctor and Gamble Pharmaceuticals, and Roche.

The original **PROSPER Study** was supported by an unrestricted, investigator-initiated grant from Bristol-Myers Squibb.

The **Rotterdam Study** was funded by the following: Erasmus MC and Erasmus University, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO); the Netherlands Organisation for the Health Research and Development (ZonMw); the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (RIDE); the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science; the Dutch Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports; the European Commission (DG XII); and, the Municipality of Rotterdam.

The **Sheffield Study** was supported by Arthritis Research UK.

The **Study of Osteoporotic Fractures (SOF)** was supported by National Institutes of Health funding. The National Institute on Aging (NIA) provides support under the following grant numbers: R01 AG005407, R01 AR35582, R01 AR35583, R01 AR35584, R01 AG005394, R01 AG027574, and R01 AG027576.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE

Dr. Gussekloo, Dr. Kearney, Dr. Rodondi, and Dr. Westendorp received funding for a randomized controlled trial on subclinical hypothyroidism (TRUST trial) from the European Commission FP7-HEALTH-2011, Specific Programme "Cooperation" – Theme "Health" Investigator-driven clinical trials for therapeutic interventions in elderly populations (Proposal No: 278148-2). Dr. Collet was supported by research grants from Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSFPBLAP3-145870, P3SMP3-155318) during the conduct of the study. Dr. Peeters reports lecture and/or advisory board fees from Genzyme B.V., EISAI, IPSEN, and Goodlife Fertility; and grant support from Veracyte, all outside of the submitted work. Dr. Eastell reports grants from Amgen, Department of Health, AstraZeneca, Immunodiagnostic Systems (IDS), Canadian Institutes of Health Research, National Osteoporosis Society, ARUK/MRC Centre of Excellence in Musculoskeletal Ageing Research, National Institute for Health Research, Cancer Research UK, MRC/AZ Mechanisms of Disease Call during the conduct of the study, and personal fees from Novartis, Roche, Alexion, Otsuka, Teijan Pharma Limited, IBMS, Merck, Amgen, Eli Lilly, Foundation of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), Endocrine Society, Johnson & Johnson, SPD Development, Fonterra Brands, Janssen Research, Ono Pharma, Immunodiagnostic Systems, Alere (Unipath), Chronos, GSK Nutrition, Radius Health, European Calcified Tissue Society, Efficacy & Mechanism Evaluation Board of the Medical Research Council, IOF CSA, all outside of the submitted work. Dr. Westendorp is supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NGI/NOW 911-03-016). No other conflicts were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Vestergaard P, Mosekilde L. Hyperthyroidism, bone mineral, and fracture risk--a meta-analysis. Thyroid : official journal of the American Thyroid Association 2003;13:585-93.

2. Blum MR, Bauer DC, Collet TH, et al. Subclinical thyroid dysfunction and fracture risk: a meta-analysis. Jama 2015;313:2055-65.

3. Bassett JH, Williams GR. Role of Thyroid Hormones in Skeletal Development and Bone Maintenance. Endocrine reviews 2016;37:135-87.

4. Brennan MD, Powell C, Kaufman KR, Sun PC, Bahn RS, Nair KS. The impact of overt and subclinical hyperthyroidism on skeletal muscle. Thyroid : official journal of the American Thyroid Association 2006;16:375-80.

5. Murphy E, Gluer CC, Reid DM, et al. Thyroid function within the upper normal range is associated with reduced bone mineral density and an increased risk of nonvertebral fractures in healthy euthyroid postmenopausal women. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2010;95:3173-81.

6. Mazziotti G, Sorvillo F, Piscopo M, et al. Recombinant human TSH modulates in vivo C-telopeptides of type-1 collagen and bone alkaline phosphatase, but not osteoprotegerin production in postmenopausal women monitored for differentiated thyroid carcinoma. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2005;20:480-6.

7. Wartofsky L, Dickey RA. The evidence for a narrower thyrotropin reference range is compelling. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2005;90:5483-8.

8. Surks MI, Goswami G, Daniels GH. The thyrotropin reference range should remain unchanged. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2005;90:5489-96.

9. Taylor PN, Razvi S, Pearce SH, Dayan CM. Clinical review: A review of the clinical consequences of variation in thyroid function within the reference range. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2013;98:3562-71.

10. Waring AC, Harrison S, Fink HA, et al. A prospective study of thyroid function, bone loss, and fractures in older men: The MrOS study. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2013;28:472-9.

11. Leader A, Ayzenfeld RH, Lishner M, Cohen E, Segev D, Hermoni D. Thyrotropin levels within the lower normal range are associated with an increased risk of hip fractures in euthyroid women, but not men, over the age of 65 years. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2014;99:2665-73.

12. Mazziotti G, Porcelli T, Patelli I, Vescovi PP, Giustina A. Serum TSH values and risk of vertebral fractures in euthyroid post-menopausal women with low bone mineral density. Bone 2010;46:747-51.

13. Cappola AR, Arnold AM, Wulczyn K, Carlson M, Robbins J, Psaty BM. Thyroid function in the euthyroid range and adverse outcomes in older adults. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2015;100:1088-96.

14. Rodondi N, den Elzen WP, Bauer DC, et al. Subclinical hypothyroidism and the risk of coronary heart disease and mortality. Jama 2010;304:1365-74.

15. Gencer B, Collet TH, Virgini V, et al. Subclinical thyroid dysfunction and the risk of heart failure events: an individual participant data analysis from 6 prospective cohorts. Circulation 2012;126:1040-9.

16. Collet TH, Gussekloo J, Bauer DC, et al. Subclinical hyperthyroidism and the risk of coronary heart disease and mortality. Archives of internal medicine 2012;172:799-809.

17. Ceresini G, Ceda GP, Lauretani F, et al. Thyroid status and 6-year mortality in elderly people living in a mildly iodine-deficient area: the aging in the Chianti Area Study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2013;61:868-74.

18. Goichot B, Sapin R, Schlienger JL. Subclinical hyperthyroidism: considerations in defining the lower limit of the thyrotropin reference interval. Clinical chemistry 2009;55:420-4.

19. G.A. Wells BS, D. O'Connell, J. Peterson, V. Welch, M. Losos, P. Tugwell. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp. Accessed May 29, 2016.

20. Bauer DC, Ettinger B, Nevitt MC, Stone KL. Risk for fracture in women with low serum levels of thyroid-stimulating hormone. Annals of internal medicine 2001;134:561-8.

21. Rodondi N, Newman AB, Vittinghoff E, et al. Subclinical hypothyroidism and the risk of heart failure, other cardiovascular events, and death. Archives of internal medicine 2005;165:2460-6.

22. StataCorp. 2015. Stata 14 Base Reference Manual. College Station TSP.

23. Siemieniuk RA, Agoritsas T, Manja V, et al. Transcatheter versus surgical aortic valve replacement in patients with severe aortic stenosis at low and intermediate risk: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ (Clinical research ed) 2016;354:i5130.

24. Schoenfeld D. Chi-squared goodness-of-fit tests for the proportional hazards regression model. . Biometrika 1980;67(1):145-153. doi:10.1093/biomet/67.1.145.

25. Hofman A, Darwish Murad S, van Duijn CM, et al. The Rotterdam Study: 2014 objectives and design update. European journal of epidemiology 2013;28:889-926.

26. Lee JS, Buzkova P, Fink HA, et al. Subclinical thyroid dysfunction and incident hip fracture in older adults. Archives of internal medicine 2010;170:1876-83.

27. Finigan J, Greenfield DM, Blumsohn A, et al. Risk factors for vertebral and nonvertebral fracture over 10 years: a population-based study in women. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 2008;23:75-85.

28. Imaizumi M, Akahoshi M, Ichimaru S, et al. Risk for ischemic heart disease and all-cause mortality in subclinical hypothyroidism. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2004;89:3365-70.

29. Walsh JP, Bremner AP, Bulsara MK, et al. Subclinical thyroid dysfunction as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Archives of internal medicine 2005;165:2467-72.

30. Nanchen D, Gussekloo J, Westendorp RG, et al. Subclinical thyroid dysfunction and the risk of heart failure in older persons at high cardiovascular risk. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2012;97:852-61.

31. Gussekloo J, van Exel E, de Craen AJ, Meinders AE, Frolich M, Westendorp RG. Thyroid status, disability and cognitive function, and survival in old age. Jama 2004;292:2591-9.

32. Svare A, Nilsen TI, Asvold BO, et al. Does thyroid function influence fracture risk? Prospective data from the HUNT2 study, Norway. European journal of endocrinology / European Federation of Endocrine Societies 2013;169:845-52.

33. Altman DG. Systematic reviews of evaluations of prognostic variables. BMJ (Clinical research ed) 2001;323:224-8.

34. Biondi B. The normal TSH reference range: what has changed in the last decade? The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2013;98:3584-7.

35. Kratzsch J, Fiedler GM, Leichtle A, et al. New reference intervals for thyrotropin and thyroid hormones based on National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry criteria and regular ultrasonography of the thyroid. Clinical chemistry 2005;51:1480-6.

36. Brabant G, Beck-Peccoz P, Jarzab B, et al. Is there a need to redefine the upper normal limit of TSH? European journal of endocrinology / European Federation of Endocrine Societies 2006;154:633-7.

37. Laurberg P, Andersen S, Carle A, Karmisholt J, Knudsen N, Pedersen IB. The TSH upper reference limit: where are we at? Nature reviews Endocrinology 2011;7:232-9.

38. Asvold BO, Vatten LJ, Midthjell K, Bjoro T. Serum TSH within the reference range as a predictor of future hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism: 11-year follow-up of the HUNT Study in Norway. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2012;97:93-9.

39. Biondi B, Cooper DS. The clinical significance of subclinical thyroid dysfunction. Endocrine reviews 2008;29:76-131.

40. Cooper DS, Biondi B. Subclinical thyroid disease. Lancet (London, England) 2012;379:1142-54.

41. Chaker L, Baumgartner C, den Elzen WP, et al. Subclinical Hypothyroidism and the Risk of Stroke Events and Fatal Stroke: An Individual Participant Data Analysis. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2015;100:2181-91.

42. Moon JH, Park YJ, Kim TH, et al. Lower-but-normal serum TSH level is associated with the development or progression of cognitive impairment in elderly: Korean Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging (KLoSHA). The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2014;99:424-32.

43. de Jong FJ, Masaki K, Chen H, et al. Thyroid function, the risk of dementia and neuropathologic changes: the Honolulu-Asia aging study. Neurobiology of aging 2009;30:600-6.

44. Yeap BB, Alfonso H, Chubb SA, et al. Higher free thyroxine levels predict increased incidence of dementia in older men: the Health in Men Study. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2012;97:E2230-7.

45. Klein I, Ojamaa K. Thyroid hormone and the cardiovascular system. The New England journal of medicine 2001;344:501-9.

46. Bassett JH, Boyde A, Howell PG, et al. Optimal bone strength and mineralization requires the type 2 iodothyronine deiodinase in osteoblasts. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2010;107:7604-9.

47. Kanis JA, McCloskey EV, Johansson H, Oden A, Strom O, Borgstrom F. Development and use of FRAX in osteoporosis. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 2010;21 Suppl 2:S407-13.

48. Perros P, Boelaert K, Colley S, et al. Guidelines for the management of thyroid cancer. Clinical endocrinology 2014;81 Suppl 1:1-122.

49. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. Thyroid : official journal of the American Thyroid Association 2016;26:1-133.

50. Boekholdt SM, Titan SM, Wiersinga WM, et al. Initial thyroid status and cardiovascular risk factors: the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population study. Clinical endocrinology 2010;72:404-10.

51. Hofman A, Brusselle GG, Darwish Murad S, et al. The Rotterdam Study: 2016 objectives and design update. European journal of epidemiology 2015;30:661-708.

Table 1. Study population and baseline characteristics of the participants in the 13 included studies (n=61,959).

Study name, place	Description of study population	Number of participants	Age, median (range) [a]	Women, No. (%)	TSH, median, mIU/L	Thyroid medication at baseline, No. (%) [b,c]	Thyroid medication during follow-up, No. (%) [b,d]	Start of follow-up, year	Duration of follow-up, median (IQR), years [e]	Person-Years
Busselton Health Study, Australia[29]	Adults	1,907	51 (18-90)	919 (48.2)	1.42	10 (0.5)	15 (0.8)	1981	20.0 (17.6-20.0)	33,281
CHS, USA (4 communities)[26]	Adults with Medicare eligibility	2,853	71 (65-100)	1,694 (59.4)	2.03	145 (5.1)	299 (10.5)	1989-1990	12.9 (7.5-18.9)	36,466
EPIC-Norfolk Study, England[50]	Adults aged 45-79y	11,986	58 (40-78)	6,365 (53.1)	1.70	275 (2.3)	NA	1995-1998	12.4 (11.7-13.3)	142,951
Health ABC Study, USA (4 communities)[14]	Adults aged 70-79y with Medicare eligibility	2,347	74 (69-81)	1,165 (49.6)	1.99	177 (7.5)	383 (13.9)	1997	12.7 (8.1-13.2)	24,794
HUNT Study, Norway,[32,f]	Adults	31,388	57 (19-99)	21,186 (67.5)	1.60	999 (3.2)	NA	1995-1997	12.2 (11.6-12.8)	345,517
InCHIANTI Study, Italy[17]	Adults aged ≥65y	1,066	71 (21-102)	590 (55.3)	1.38	17 (1.6)	28 (2.6)	1998	9.1 (7.8-9.3)	8,562
Leiden 85-Plus Study, The Netherlands[31]	Adults aged 85y	456	85 (85-85)	293 (64.3)	1.66	6 (1.3)	11 (2.4)	1997-1999	4.8 (2.2-8.1)	2,411
MrOS, USA (6 clinical centers)[10]	Men aged ≥65y	1,410	73 (65-99)	All men	1.97	83 (5.9)	98 (6.9)	2000-2002	11.1 (8.1-11.8)	13,568
OPUS, Germany, France, UK[5,g]	Women aged 20-80y	1,205	63 (20-80)	All women	0.96	0 (0.0)	NA	1999-2001	6.0 (5.8-6.3)	7,179
PROSPER, Ireland, Scotland, The Netherlands[30]	Older adults at high cardiovascular risk	5,124	75 (69-83)	2,527 (49.3)	1.75	135 (2.6)	163 (3.2)	1997-1999	3.2 (3.0-3.5)	15,833
Rotterdam Study, The Netherlands[51]	Adults aged ≥55y	1,611	68 (55-93)	957 (59.4)	1.54	21 (1.3)	NA	1989-1992	15.2 (10.4-16.2)	21,130
Sheffield Study, England[27]	Women aged 50-85y	291	63 (50-86)	All women	2.00	2 (0.7)	9 (3.1)	1990-1991	10.0 (5.5-10.1)	2,301
SOF, USA (4 clinical centers) [20,h]	Women >65y	314	71 (65-88)	All women	1.50	15 (4.8)	NA	1986-1998	14.3 (9.8-19.8)	4,433
Overall	**13 cohorts**	**61, 959**	**64 (18-102)**	**37,506 (60.5)**	**1.60**	**1,885 (3.1)**	**831 (5.5)**	**1981-2002**	**12.1 (8.5-12.9)**	**659,059[i]**

Abbreviations: CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation of Cancer; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition, HUNT, Nord-Trøndelag Health Study; InCHIANTI, Invecchiare in Chianti Study; IQR, interquartile range; MrOS, Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study; No., number; OPUS, Osteoporosis and Ultrasound Study; PROSPER, Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; UK, United Kingdom; USA, United States of America; y, years.

[a] We excluded participants younger than 18y.

[b] Thyroid medication was defined as thyroxine or anti-thyroid medication.

[c] Data on thyroid medication at baseline was missing for 255 participants in the HUNT Study, 59 participants in MrOS, 1 participant in the Rotterdam Study, 4 participants in SOF and 7 participants in Health ABC Study.

[d] Data on thyroid mediation at follow-up was missing for 243 participants in MrOS, 96 participants in InCHIANTI Study, 45 participants in Sheffield Study, and all participants in HUNT Study, EPIC-Norfolk Study, Rotterdam Study, OPUS and SOF.

[e] Duration of follow-up was defined as the maximum duration of follow-up that was available, i.e. the time to the first hip (or any if unavailable) fracture or censor date/death.

[f] We included participants excluded from the original article of HUNT Study (participants <40y, with previous fracture and/or with previous thyroid disease), which explains the different number of the sample.

[g] We included only the thyroid hormone sub-study of OPUS, which excluded participants on thyroid medication.

[h] We included only a subsample of SOF, i.e., the participants with TSH measurement at baseline.

[I] It was calculated as time to hip fracture; for PROSPER, it was calculated as time to any fracture, since data on hip fracture was unavailable.

Table 2: Sensitivity analyses for the risk of hip fracture according to thyroid-stimulating hormone and free thyroxine

	Analysis by TSH category [a]		Analysis by SD increase in FT4 [b]	
	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI) [c]	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI) [d]
Main analysis	610/13,390	1.25 (1.05-1.49)	542/20,633	1.24 (1.12-1.37)
Medication use				
Excluding participants with thyroid medication at baseline [e]	557/12,728	1.28 (1.06-1.53)	526/20,158	1.26 (1.13-1.40)
Excluding participants with thyroid-altering medication at baseline [f]	542/12,396	1.28 (1.07-1.55)	506/19,679	1.26 (1.13-1.40)
Excluding participants with anti-fracture medication at baseline [g]	605/12,739	1.27 (1.07-1.52)	539/20,563	1.24 (1.12-1.38)
Definition of fracture				
Including only studies with formal fracture adjudication [h]	496/12,048	1.31 (1.06-1.60)	416/17,913	1.21 (1.07-1.36)
Other				
Excluding one study with loss to follow-up >5% [i]	606/12,748	1.26 (1.05-1.50)	536/19,463	1.24 (1.11-1.37)
BMD				
Further adjusting for femoral neck BMD at baseline [j]	94/2,020	1.68 (1.08-2.61)[k]	142/4,147	1.22 (1.01-1.47)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BMD, bone mineral density; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; CI, confidence interval; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation of Cancer; FT4, free thyroxine; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition; HUNT, Nord-Trøndelag Health Study; InCHIANTI, Invecchiare in Chianti; MrOS, Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study; No., number; OPUS, Osteoporosis and Ultrasound Study; PROSPER, Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk; SD, standard deviation; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

All analyses were adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and sex. Data for hip fractures were available for 12 cohorts (all but PROSPER).

[a] We present a selected analysis for the TSH category 0.45-0.99mIU/L compared with the reference category (TSH 3.50-4.99mIU/L).

[b] FT4 was measured in all studies but SOF and Health ABC Study (FT4 not measured in participants with TSH within reference range).

[c] Hazard ratios are for TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L, compared with the reference group 3.50-4.99mIU/L.

[d] Hazard ratios are per one standard deviation increase in FT4.

[e] Thyroid medication was defined as thyroxine or anti-thyroid medication.

[f] Thyroid-altering medication included oral corticosteroid, amiodarone, iodine, thyroxine and/or anti-thyroid medication.

[g] Anti-fracture medication was defined as bisphosphonate, calcitonin, selective estrogen receptor modulator and/or parathyroid hormone.

[h] EPIC-Norfolk Study, HUNT Study, InCHIANTI Study, MrOS, OPUS, Rotterdam Study, Sheffield Study, Health ABC Study and SOF (Health ABC Study and SOF only in the TSH analysis).

[i] OPUS.

[j] Femoral neck BMD at baseline was available in following studies: CHS, MrOS, Rotterdam Study, Sheffield Study, OPUS, Health ABC Study.

[k] Participants within the TSH category 3.50-4.49mIU/L had lower femoral neck BMD at baseline than participants within the TSH category 0.45-1.50mIU/L (mean [SD]): 0.77g/cm^2 (0.16) versus 0.79 g/cm^2 (0.15) respectively, P = 0.002), which explains the higher hazard ratio after adjusting for femoral neck BMD at baseline.

Table 3. Sensitivity and stratified analyses for the risk of any, non-vertebral and vertebral fractures, per one standard deviation increase in free thyroxine

	Any fracture [a]		Non-vertebral fracture [b]		Vertebral fracture [c]	
	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Main analysis	1,629/22,977	1.08 (1.02-1.15)	1,273/19,101	1.10 (1.03-1.18)	129/17,711	1.06 (0.86-1.30)
SENSITIVITY ANALYSES						
Medication use						
Excluding participants with thyroid medication at baseline [d]	1,552/22,440	1.09 (1.02-1.16)	1,240/18,697	1.14 (1.06-1.23)	125/17,309	1.08 (0.86-1.37)
Excluding participants with thyroid-altering medication at baseline [e]	1,537/21,976	1.09 (1.02-1.15)	1,200/18,256	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	125/16,868	1.07 (0.86-1.32)
Excluding participants with anti-fracture medication at baseline [f]	1,622/22,927	1.08 (1.02-1.15)	1,263/19,038	1.10 (1.03-1.18)	127/17,666	1.05 (0.85-1.29)
Definition of fracture						
Including only studies with formal fracture adjudication [g]	1,026/15,805	1.11 (1.02-1.19)	1,111/17,208	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	113/15,806	1.07 (0.86-1.32)
Including only studies with most uniform definition of fracture [h]	1,155/19,728	1.06 (0.99-1.14)	685/14,461	1.08 (0.98-1.19)	65/14,462	1.10 (0.83-1.47)
Other						
Further adjusting for smoking status, BMI and diabetes mellitus	1,591/22,536	1.21 (1.00-1.46)	1,140/17,562	1.09 (1.01-1.18)	126/17,290	1.03 (0.83-1.27)
Excluding studies with loss of follow-up rate >5%	NA	NA	1,174/17,981	1.13 (1.04-1.22)	NA	NA
BMD						
Further adjusting for lumbar spine BMD at baseline [i]	NA	NA	NA	NA	39/1,399	0.96 (0.68-1.36)
Further adjusting for whole body BMD at baseline [j]	183/1,399	0.89 (0.75-1.04)	NA	NA	NA	NA
STRATIFIED ANALYSES						
Stratified for sex						

[a] Data on any fractures were available for 7 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Leiden 85-Plus Study, PROSPER, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study).
[b] Data on non-vertebral fractures were available for 7 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, Sheffield Study, OPUS).
[c] Data on vertebral fractures were available for 5 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study). Vertebral fracture was defined as a clinical symptomatic dorsal or lumbar fracture.
[d] Thyroid medication was defined as thyroxin or anti-thyroid drug.
[e] Thyroid-altering medication included oral corticosteroid, amiodarone, iodine, thyroxin and/or anti-thyroid drug.
[f] Anti-fracture medication was defined as bisphosphonate, calcitonin, selective estrogen receptor modulator and/or parathyroid hormone.
[g] EPIC-Norfolk Study, HUNT Study, InCHIANTI Study, MrOS, OPUS, Rotterdam Study, Sheffield Study.
[h] EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Leiden 85-Plus Study, MrOS, PROSPER.
[i] Lumbar spine BMD was available in MrOS only.
[j] Whole body BMD was available in MrOS only.

Women	1,013/11, 321	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	827/10,075	1.10 (1.01-1.20)	62/8,679	1.12 (0.83-1.51)
Men	616/11,656	1.05 (0.95-1.15)	446/9,026	1.08 (0.96-1.22)	67/9,032	1.00 (0.75-1.33)
P-value for interaction	NA	0.39	NA	0.79	NA	0.61
Stratified for age						
<75 years at baseline	1,041/18,367	1.10 (1.02-1.19)	955/17,144	1.10 (1.02-1.20)	87/15,917	0.96 (0.74-1.25)
≥75 years at baseline	588/4610	1.06 (0.96-1.16)	318/1,957	1.10 (0.97-1.25)	42/1,794	1.25 (0.88-1.76)
P-value for interaction	NA	0.47	NA	0.99	NA	0.25
Stratified for duration of follow-up						
<5 years	446/5,920	1.04 (0.93-1.15)	47/888	0.82 (0.59-1.14)	7/888	0.60 (0.26-1.37)
≥5 years	1,183/17,057	1.09 (1.02-1.18)	1,226/18,213	1.10 (1.03-1.18)	122/16,823	1.07 (0.87-1.33)
P-value for interaction	NA	0.39	NA	0.07	NA	0.18

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation of Cancer; FT4, free thyroxine; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition; InCHIANTI, Invecchiare in Chianti Study; MrOS, Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study; NA, not appropriate; No., number; OPUS, Osteoporosis and Ultrasound Study; PROSPER, Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk; SD, standard deviation; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

All analyses were adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and and sex; FT4 was measured in all studies but SOF and Health ABC Study (FT4 not measured in participants with TSH within the reference range).

Hazard ratios are per one standard deviation increase in FT4.

Figures titles

Figure 1.
Risk of hip fracture according to thyroid-stimulating hormone categories

Figure 2.
Risk of hip fracture per one standard deviation increase in free thyroxine, overall and stratified by sex, age and duration of follow-up

Figures captions

Figure 1
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR; hazard ratio; No., number; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.
Data on hip fractures were available for 12 studies (all except PROSPER).

Figure 2
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FT4, free thyroxine; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition; HR; hazard ratio; No., Number; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic fractures, TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.
The analysis stratified for sex was adjusted for age. All other analyses were adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and sex.
FT4 was measured in all studies but SOF and Health ABC Study (FT4 not measured in participants with TSH within the reference range).
Data on hip fractures were available for 10 studies with measured FT4 (all except PROSPER).

Figure 1. Risk of hip fracture according to thyroid-stimulating hormone categories



Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; No., number; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.
Data on hip fractures were available for 12 studies (all except PROSPER).

Figure 2. Risk of hip fracture per one standard deviation increase in free thyroxine, overall and stratified by sex, age and duration of follow-up



Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FT4, free thyroxine; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition; HR; hazard ratio; No., Number; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic fractures, TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

The analysis stratified for sex was adjusted for age. All other analyses were adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and sex.

FT4 was measured in all studies but SOF and Health ABC Study (FT4 not measured in participants with TSH within the reference range).

Data on hip fractures were available for 10 studies with measured FT4 (all except PROSPER).

Appendix table 1. Definition of fractures in each study

Study	Hip fracture	Any fracture	Non-vertebral fracture	Vertebral fracture
Busselton Health Study	ICD10: S72.0-1	Non-vertebral or vertebral fracture (first event)	Including: ICD9: 807-829. Excluding: skull/face (ICD9: 800-804)	Clinically diagnosed; cervical (ICD10: S12), thoracic (ICD10: S22) or lumbar vertebrae (ICD10: S32), vertebrae of unknown location (ICD10: T08)
CHS	ICD9: 820.0-820.9 for inpatients, plus CPT procedure code on fracture treatment for outpatients	NA	NA	NA
EPIC-Norfolk Study	ICD10: S72.0-2	Non-vertebral or vertebral fracture (first event)	Excluding skull/face, ankle, fingers, toes	Clinically diagnosed; thoracic (ICD10: S22), lumbar vertebrae (ICD10: S32), vertebrae of unknown location (ICD10: T08)
Health ABC Study	Femoral neck, intertrochanteric, proximal femur	Non-vertebral or vertebral fracture (first event)	Excluding ankle, fingers, toes	Clinically diagnosed; thoracic or lumbar vertebrae
HUNT Study	ICD9: 820.0-820.9, SIFF-95 procedure codes; ICD10: S72.0-2, S72.9, NCSP codes	NA	NA	NA
InCHIANTI Study	ICD9: 820.0-820.9 for inpatients, plus CPT procedure code on fracture treatment for outpatients	Non-vertebral or vertebral fracture (first event)	Excluding skull/face, ankle, fingers, toes	Clinically diagnosed; thoracic (ICD9: 805.2-5) or lumbar vertebrae (ICD9: 806.2-5)
Leiden 85-Plus Study	Any hip fracture	Any fracture	NA	NA
MrOS	Femoral neck, intertrochanteric, subtrochanteric	Non-vertebral or vertebral fracture (first event)	Excluding skull/face, ankle, fingers, toes	Clinically diagnosed; thoracic or lumbar vertebrae
OPUS	Any low-traumatic hip fracture	NA	Any low-traumatic non-vertebral fracture	NA
PROSPER	NA	Any fracture	NA	NA
Rotterdam Study	Any hip fracture	Non-vertebral or vertebral fracture (first event)	Excluding skull, ankle/foot, fingers/and/wrist	Any clinically diagnosed vertebral fracture
Sheffield Study	Any hip fracture	NA	Any non-vertebral fracture	NA

SOF	Any hip fracture, excluding severe traumatic fracture	Any fracture	Any non-vertebral fracture, excluding severe traumatic fracture	Any clinically diagnosed vertebral fracture, excluding severe traumatic fracture

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; CPT; Current Procedural Terminology EPIC, European Prospective Investigation of Cancer; GP, general practitioner; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition, HUNT, Nord-Trøndelag Health Study; ICD, international classification of disease; InCHIANTI, Invecchiare in Chianti Study; MrOS, Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study; NA, not appropriate; OPUS, Osteoporosis and Ultrasound Study; PROSPER, Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures.

Appendix table 2. Study quality assessment

Study	Design	Setting	Ascertainment of exposure	Covariates available for adjustment	Assessment of fractures		Adjudication blinded to thyroid function	Median (IQR) length of follow-up	Loss to follow-up	Fractures data published [a]
					Method used	Formal adjudication				
Busselton Health Study	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid and anti-osteoporotic medication	ICD9 and ICD10 coded diagnoses in hospital discharge records	No	NA	20.0 (17.6-20.0)	5%	No
CHS	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid, thyroid-altering and anti-osteoporotic medication	Interview and hospital records reviewed by experts	No	NA	13.0 (7.6-19.0)	0%	Yes
EPIC-Norfolk Study	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid and thyroid-altering medication	Hospital discharge coding by data linkage with NHS central register	Yes	Yes	12.4 (11.7-13.3)	1.3%	Yes
Health ABC Study	Prospective cohort tstudy	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid, thyroid-alterating and anti-osteoporotic medication	Interview, hospital records and other documents reviewed by clinicians	Yes	Yes	12.7 (8.0-13.2)	<5%	Yes
HUNT Study	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid and thyroid-altering medication	Hospital and radiology records reviewed by physicians, health secretaries and nurses	Yes	Yes	12.2 (11.6-12.8)	<5%	Yes
InCHIANTI Study	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid,	Hospital records and other documents	Yes	Yes	9.1 (7.8-9.3)	<5%	No

[a] Four cohorts had not published their fractures data in a separate manuscript previously.

				thyroid-altering and anti-osteoporotic medication						
Leiden 85-Plus Study	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid, thyroid-alterating and anti-osteoporotic medication	Annual interview of treating GP or nursing home physician and review of their medical records	No	NA	4.8 (2.2-8.1)	<4%	Yes
MrOS	Random sample of a prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid, thyroid-altering and anti-osteoporotic medication	Interviewed reported fractures centrally adjudicated by physician through radiology reports or X-rays	Yes	Yes	11.1 (8.1-11.8)	2%	Yes
OPUS	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid, thyroid-altering and anti-osteoporotic medication	Interview after 6 years follow-up, validated by medical records and imaging reviewed by radiologist	Yes	Yes	6.0 (5.8-6.3)	40%	Yes
PROSPER	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid and thyroid-altering medication	Fractures documented as adverse events	No	NA	3.3 (3.0-3.5)	<1%	No
Rotterdam Study	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid medication	GP and hospital registry records, reviewed by independent medical experts	Yes	Yes	15.2 (10.4-16.2)	<1%	Yes
Sheffield Study	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid medication	GP records and interviews, if confirmed by radiology or	Yes	Yes	10.0 (2.8-10.1)	2%	Yes

					orthopedic report					
SOF	Prospective cohort study	Population-based study	Third generation TSH assay	Age, sex, BMI, smoking status, diabetes; thyroid, thyroid-altering and anti-osteoporotic medication	Mail interview, with confirmation by X-rays or written report review by radiologist	Yes	Yes	14.3 (9.8-19.8)	5%	Yes

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CHS, Cardiovascular Health Study; EPIC, European Prospective Investigation of Cancer; GP, general practitioner; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition; HUNT, Nord-Trøndelag Health Study; ICD, international classification of disease; InCHIANTI, Invecchiare in Chianti Study; IQR, interquartile range; MrOS, Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Study; OPUS, Osteoporosis and Ultrasound Study; PROSPER, Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Appendix table 3. Analysis stratified by publication status of fractures data

	Hip fracture [a]		Any fracture [b]		Non-vertebral fracture [c]		Vertebral fracture [d]	
Analysis by thyroid-stimulating hormone categories								
	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Main analysis	610/13,390	1.25 (1.05-1.49)	561/5,587	1.00 (0.83-1.19)	504/5,013	1.04 (0.85-1.26)	60/4,854	1.46 (0.82-2.61)
Studies with published fractures studies	572/12,460	1.35 (1.13-1.61)	387/4,097	1.16 (0.93-1.43)	423/4,643	1.27 (1.03-1.57)	53/3,977	1.44 (0.79-2.62)
Studies with unpublished fractures data [e]	33/865	0.44 (0.21-0.90)	172/2,039	0.70 (0.50-0.96)	79/862	0.54 (0.33-0.91)	7/858	1.17 (0.14-9.88)
P-value for interaction	NA	0.0001	NA	0.17	NA	0.12	NA	0.84
Analysis by one standard deviation increase in free thyroxine[f]								
	Hip fracture [g]		**Any fracture [h]**		**Non-vertebral fracture [i]**		**Vertebral fracture [j]**	
	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
Main analysis	542/20,633	1.24 (1.12-1.37)	1,629/22,977	1.08 (1.02-1.15)	1,273/19,101	1.10 (1.03-1.18)	129/17,711	1.06 (0.86-1.30)
Studies with published fractures studies	453/17,663	1.25 (1.12-1.40)	1,003/15,192	1.09 (1.01-1.18)	1,031/16,143	1.12 (1.03-1.20)	103/14,741	1.09 (0.67-1.76)
Studies with unpublished fractures data[e]	89/2,970	1.16 (0.90-1.89)	626/7,785	1.07 (0.97-1.17)	242/2,958	1.03 (0.87-1.20)	26/2,970	1.05 (0.84-1.31)
P-value for interaction	NA	0.59	NA	0.70	NA	0.35	NA	0.89

[a] Data on hip fractures were available for 12 studies (all but PROSPER)

[b] Data on any fractures were available for 9 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Leiden 85-Plus Study, PROSPER, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, SOF, Health ABC Study).

[c]Data on non-vertebral fractures were available for 9 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, Sheffield Study, OPUS, SOF, Health ABC Study).

[d] Data on vertebral fracture were available for 7 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, SOF, Health ABC Study). Vertebral fracture was defined as a clinical symptomatic dorsal or lumbar fracture.

[e]Busselton Health Study, InCHIANTI Study and PROSPER Study did not previously publish hip fracture data associated with thyroid function in a separate article.

[f]FT4was measured in all studies but SOF and Health ABC Study (FT4not measured in participants with TSH within normal range).

[g] Data on hip fractures were available for 10 studies with measured FT4 (all but PROSPER).

[h] Data on any fracture were available for 7 studies with measured FT4 (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Leiden 85-Plus Study, PROSPER, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study).

[i] Data on non-vertebral fracture were available for 7 studies with measured FT4(MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, Sheffield Study, OPUS).

[j] Data on vertebral fracture were available for 5 studies with measured FT4 (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study). Vertebral fracture was defined as a clinical symptomatic dorsal or lumbar fracture.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; No., number.
All analyses were adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and sex.

Appendix table4. Risk of any, non-vertebral and vertebral fractures according to thyroid-stimulating hormone categories

TSH level (mIU/L)	Any fracture[a]		Non-vertebral fracture[b]		Vertebral fracture[c]	
	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	No. of events/ participants	Hazard ratio (95% CI)
3.50-4.49	179/1,769	1 (Reference)	140/1,396	1 (Reference)	16/1,353	1 (Reference)
2.50-3.49	376/4,096	0.98 (0.82-1.17)	280/3,301	0.91 (0.74-1.12)	48/3,194	1.40 (0.80-2.47)
1.50-2.49	841/9,847	1.01 (0.86-1.19)	650/8,033	0.97 (0.81-1.17)	99/7,752	1.48 (0.87-2.50)
1.00-1.49	555/6,105	1.07 (0.90-1.27)	440/5,165	1.02 (0.84-1.24)	56/4,818	1.35 (0.78-2.37)
0.45-0.99	382/4,379	1.00 (0.83-1.19)	364/4,121	1.04 (0.85-1.26)	44/3,501	1.46 (0.82-2.61)
P-value for trend	NA	0.56	NA	0.19	NA	0.43

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; Health ABC, Health, Aging and Body Composition; No., number; PROSPER, Prospective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk; SOF, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

All analyses were adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and for sex.

[a] Data on any fractures were available for 9 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Leiden 85-Plus Study, PROSPER, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, SOF, Health ABC Study).

[b] Data on non-vertebral fractures were available for 9 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, Sheffield Study, OPUS, SOF, Health ABC Study).

[c] Data on vertebral fractures were available for 7 studies (MrOS, EPIC-Norfolk Study, InCHIANTI Study, Rotterdam Study, Busselton Health Study, SOF, Health ABC Study). Vertebral fracture was defined as a clinical symptomatic dorsal or lumbar fracture.

Appendix figure 1. Flow diagram of the studies assessed for inclusion



Abbreviations: IPD, individual participants data; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Appendix figure 2. Risk of hip fracture in participants with thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) level 0.45-1.49mIU/L, compared to the reference group with TSH level 3.50-4.49mIU/L, stratified by sex, age and duration of follow-up



Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; No, number; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.
We present a selected analysis for the TSH categories 0.45-0.99mIU/L and 3.50-4.99mIU/L. Hazard ratios are for TSH 0.45-0.99mIU/L, compared with the reference group 3.50-4.99mIU/L. The analysis stratified for sex was adjusted for age. All other analyses were adjusted for age (as a continuous variable) and sex.
Data on hip fractures were available for 12 studies (all except PROSPER).